Case 2:04-cv-00511-RSM Document 35 Filed 07/02/2004 Page 1 of 4 1 Honorable Franklin D. Burgess 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT TACOMA 9 REALNETWORKS, INC., a Washington Case No. CV04-0511FDB 10 corporation, JOINT STATUS REPORT Plaintiff. 11 12 V. MLB ADVANCED MEDIA, L.P., a Delaware 13 limited partnership, 14 Defendants. 15 16 Pursuant to this Court's March 11, 2004 Order, Plaintiff RealNetworks, Inc. and 17 Defendant MLB Advanced Media, L.P. submit the following Joint Status Report. 18 1. **Jurisdiction and Venue**: The statutory jurisdictional basis for this Court's jurisdiction is 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1332, 15 U.S.C. §1125, and 28 U.S.C. §1367. Plaintiff asserts 19 20 that this Court has jurisdiction as the parties are citizens of different states and the amount and 21 value exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interests and costs. Defendant denies that the Court has jurisdiction over this case, as originally filed. Defendant asserts that this Court 22 23 has jurisdiction only because its counterclaims arise under the Lanham Act, and that there is 24 supplemental jurisdiction over the non-federal claims. Plaintiff is a Washington corporation with its principal place of business located in Seattle, Washington. Defendant is a Delaware limited 25 partnership with its principal place of business located in New York, New York. The ultimate 26 27 limited partners in defendant include citizens of the State of Washington. JOINT STATUS REPORT - 1 SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC 315 FIFTH AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 1000 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-2682 telephone (206) 676-7000

fax (206) 676-7001 Dockets.Justia.com

8

16

15

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

The parties agree that this Court has venue.

2. Nature and Complexity of Case: The plaintiff's case is a breach of contract case. Although not legally complex, the subject matter of the plaintiff's case contains some difficult concepts.

The defendant's have counterclaimed for (1) unfair competition/false designation of origin, (2) unjust enrichment, (3) common law unfair competition, (4) state statutory unfair competition and false advertising, and (5) federal declaratory judgment. The factual bases for the defendant's counterclaims are straightforward. The legal issues are somewhat complex.

- 3. <u>Status of Case</u>: Discovery is in its initial stages with no hearings or motions pending. The parties have agreed to a discovery deadline of September 1, 2004, a dispositive motions deadline of February 20, 2005 and a trial in June 2005.
- 4. <u>Special Master</u>: The parties do not believe that this case should be referred to a Special Master pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 53.
- 5. <u>Mediation</u>: The parties have attempted to settle this matter out of Court, and will continue to engage in settlement discussions as appropriate. They do not feel mediation pursuant to Local Rule 39.1 would be a beneficial use of the parties' resources at this at this stage in the proceedings.
- 6. <u>Magistrate</u>: The parties do not consent to a full-time magistrate judge conducting the proceedings of this matter.
- 7. <u>Trial</u>: The parties believe this case will be ready for trial in June 2005. Absent circumstances beyond the parties' control, counsel will make themselves available during this time for trial. The plaintiff has requested a jury trial. The parties agree that the estimated length of trial will be two weeks. Trial counsel for both parties is as follows:

Counsel for the Plaintiff:

Summit Law Group, PLLC Ralph H. Palumbo, WSBA #04751 Lynn M. Engel, WSBA #21934 315 Fifth Avenue, South, Suite 1000 Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 676-7000

JOINT STATUS REPORT - 2

SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC 315 FIFTH AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 1000 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-2682 telephone (206) 676-7000 fax (206) 676-7001

27

Document 35

Filed 07/02/2004

Page 4 of 4

Case 2:04-cv-00511-RSM